

# Complex Analysis, Summary

Matthijs Muis

March 4, 2024

**Acknowledgement** My collection of chapter summaries is based on the **NWI-WB093: COMPLEX ANALYSIS (2023/24)** by Wadim Zudilin. I changed little about the structure, except that I added a Preliminaries, and worked out the in-text exercises.

## 0. Preliminaries

From Analysis 2, we use:

**Theorem 0.1. uniform convergence preserves continuity**

If  $(f_n)_n$ , with  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function on a **compact metric space**  $X$ , then if  $f_N \rightarrow f$  uniformly,  $f$  is continuous.

**Definition 0.2.** For a sequence  $(a_n) \subset V$  where  $V$  is a vector space with a metric  $d : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  (we need both a **metric** and **additive structure**), the **series**  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  **converges** if the sequence of partial sums  $(\sum_{n=0}^N a_n)_N$  converges

**Corrolary 0.3. Weierstraß' M-test**

If  $(f_n)_n$ ,  $f_n : X \rightarrow V$ ,  $(M_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $X$  is **compact** and  $V$  is a **Banach space**, and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\forall x \in X : |f_n(x)| < M_n$ , and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$  converges absolutely and uniformly on  $X$ .

*Proof.* We first show the Cauchy criterion holds and use completeness of  $V$  to conclude. That is, we show:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall m > n > N : \forall x \in X : \left| \sum_{k=n}^m |f_k(x)| \right| < \epsilon$$

This follows simply from the fact that we can bound  $\sum_{k=n}^m |f_k(x)| < \sum_{k=n}^m M_k$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$  converges so we already have the Cauchy criterion for this series and can conclude.

Therefore,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$  converges absolutely pointwise, meaning to, say,  $F : X \rightarrow V$ . We next argue that this convergence is uniform: the sufficiently large  $N$  such that the Cauchy criterion is satisfied, can be picked from the sequence  $M_n$ , and thereby does not depend on  $x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.4.** *Let  $(V, |\cdot|)$  be a Banach (complete normed) space. If  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$  converges absolutely, meaning that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges, then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$  converges.*

*Proof.*  $S_N = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k$  converges if it is Cauchy, by completeness of  $V$ . We can show the Cauchy criterion: for  $n \geq m$ :

$$|S_n - S_m| = \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n |a_k|$$

And since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges, it is Cauchy, therefore we conclude  $|S_n - S_m| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq m \geq N$  sufficiently large. □

## 1 Complex Numbers

**Definition 1.1.**  $\mathbb{C}$  *defined as algebraic extension*  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$

We observe that  $f = X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  is a monic, irreducible polynomial, and  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  is a principal ideal domain since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field. Therefore, there exists a field extension  $\mathbb{R}/K$  with  $\alpha \in K$  and  $X^2 + 1$  the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , and in particular  $K = \mathbb{R}[\alpha] \cong \mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + 1)$ . It is unique up to isomorphism, and we denote it with  $\mathbb{C}$ , while we denote  $\alpha$  with  $i$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[i]$ .

**Definition 1.2.**  $\mathbb{C}$  *as inner product space*

We can also see  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as a vector space  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , which is an inner product space with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as:

$$\langle a + bi, c + di \rangle := ac + bd$$

**Definition 1.3.**  $\mathbb{C}$  *as normed space*

The inner product induces a norm  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined as:

$$|z| := \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$$

which is also called the **modulus** in the context of complex analysis

**Definition 1.4.**  $\mathbb{C}$  *as metric space*

The norm induces a metric  $d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$d(z, u) := |z - u|$$

**Definition 1.5.** *conjugate*

Note that if we define the **conjugate**

$$\overline{a + bi} := a - bi$$

then

$$\langle z, u \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^n z_k \overline{u_k} \implies \text{in } \mathbb{C}, |z|^2 = z \overline{z}$$

and this immediately gives an expression for the inverse of any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , namely

$$z^{-1} = \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2}$$

Another view of  $\mathbb{C}$  stems from the following field isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ \varphi(x + iy) &= \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  satisfies the properties  $\varphi(z+u) = \varphi(z) + \varphi(u)$  and  $\varphi(zu) = \varphi(z)\varphi(u)$  and  $f(1) = \text{Id}_2$ , we get that  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism. Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field,  $\ker \varphi$  is trivial and an isomorphism theorem gives:

$$\varphi(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

And thereby

$$\varphi(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is a subfield of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that:

$$\det \circ \varphi = |\cdot|^2$$

**Theorem 1.6.** *The complex exponential function*

$$\exp : z \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is well-defined as the series converges, and is continuous.

*Proof.* We use Weierstraß'  $M$ -test ( $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$  is a Banach space) to show the series converges on any compact disk of radius  $R > 0$  around 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is  $\overline{D}(0, R) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq R\}$ .

Indeed, setting  $f_n : \overline{D}(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  through  $f_n(z) := \frac{z^n}{n!}$ , and choosing  $M_n = \frac{R^n}{n!}$ , we see  $|f_n| \leq \frac{R^n}{n!}$  on  $D_R$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{R^n}{n!} = e^R$ .

So  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$  converges absolutely and uniformly on  $\overline{D}(0, R)$  for any  $R > 0$ , therefore  $\exp$  is well-defined. By uniform convergence, it follows we can exchange limits and the series, therefore it is continuous at any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , because for  $a \in \overline{D}(0, R)$ , we have  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} \exp(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} = \exp(a)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7.**

$$\exp(z + w) = \exp(z) \exp(w)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(z+w) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z+w)^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} z^k w^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j,k \geq 0, j+k=n} \frac{1}{j!k!} z^k w^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the above sum sums over every term  $\frac{1}{k!j!} z^j w^k$  for each  $(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  once. By absolute convergence we can apply Fubini's theorem, and it follows the series therefore equals

$$\dots = \sum_{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \frac{z^k w^j}{k! j!}$$

Next, we note that  $z$  and  $w$  both lie in a disk  $D_R$  of  $R = \max\{|z|, |w|\}$ , and  $z+w$  must certainly lie within the disk  $D_{2R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{w^j}{j!} \\ &= \exp(z) \exp(w) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.8.** *Prove that the following trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, now defined for complex variable by the series*

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} & \cosh(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k)!} \\ \sin(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{2k+1} z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} & \cos(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{2k} z^{2k+1}}{(2k)!} \end{aligned}$$

*are well-defined and continuous in  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* The exp-function will do all the work, since we already know that this sequence converges. We can take termwise sums of convergent series, and this

series necessarily also converges:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2}(\exp(z) - \exp(-z)) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^k}{k!} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - (-1)^k) z^k}{k!} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} = \sinh(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cosh(z) &= \frac{\exp z + \exp -z}{2} \\
 \cos(z) &= \frac{\exp iz + \exp -iz}{2} = \cosh(iz) \\
 \sin(z) &= \frac{\exp iz - \exp -iz}{2i} = -i \sinh(iz)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corrolary 1.9. Euler's identity**

$$\exp(iz) = \cos z + i \sin z$$

**Definition 1.10. holomorphic functions**

We say  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is **complexly differentiable** at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  if there is a  $C \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{f(w) - f(z) - C \cdot (w - z)}{w - z} = 0$$

We call  $C$  the **complex derivative** of  $f$  at  $z$ . A complex differentiable function is called **holomorphic**. Also,  $C$  is unique, namely

$$C = \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{f(w) - f(z)}{w - z}$$

And we define  $f'(z) = C$ .

**Remark 1.11.** It is important to understand that having a complex derivative is a stronger property than just being differentiable on  $\mathbb{C}$  seen as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Recall a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is differentiable at  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  if there is a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $D$  such that

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{f(w) - f(z) - D \cdot (w - z)}{|w - z|} = 0$$

While if we view a holomorphic function as a function  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  (its real part being the first component and the imaginary part the second), then for the complex derivative  $C = c_1 + c_2i$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} C \cdot (s_1 + is_2) &= c_1s_1 - c_2s_2 + i(c_1s_2 + c_2s_1) \implies \\ \begin{pmatrix} c_1s_1 - c_2s_2 \\ c_2s_1 + c_1s_2 \end{pmatrix} &= Ds = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11}s_1 + d_{12}s_2 \\ d_{21}s_1 + d_{22}s_2 \end{pmatrix} \implies \\ D &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & -c_2 \\ c_2 & c_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $f$  is holomorphic if and only

1. if it is differentiable when seen as a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .
2. its differential  $d_z f$  is a skew-symmetric matrix, namely given by  $\varphi(\overline{C})$

The skew-symmetry of the differential is a key property that makes holomorphic functions a special class of differentiable functions, and holomorphic functions are one of the key motivations of doing a study of the analysis of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.12. entirety**

A function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called **entire** if it is holomorphic at every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.13. regularity**

A function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called **regular** at  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  if it is holomorphic at  $a$  and  $f'(a) \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 1.14.** If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and locally invertible at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $f$  is regular at  $z$ .

*Proof.* If  $f$  is locally invertible, i.e. there is an open  $U \ni z$  and  $g : f(U) \rightarrow U$  with  $g(f(w)) = w$  for all  $w \in U$ , then we can use the inverse function theorem to argue that  $g$  is differentiable (at least through  $\mathbb{R}$ ), and therefore we can apply the chain rule to obtain the equality  $g'(f(z))f'(z) = 1$ , which can only hold if  $f'(z) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.15.** The function  $\exp$  is entire and its derivative at any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is  $\exp z$ .

*Proof.* Note that for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\frac{\exp(z+h) - \exp(z)}{h} = \exp(z) \frac{\exp(h) - 1}{h}$$

In the latter fraction, we use the series expansion and make the division termwise:

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^n}{n!} - 1}{h} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^{n-1}}{n!}$$

Since the convergence of the series is uniform, we can take the limit  $h \rightarrow 0$  through the summation. This means

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^{n-1}}{n!} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{0^{n-1}}{n!} = 1$$

(Where I made the constant term explicit to avoid confusing  $0^0$  discussions). We have, therefore, at every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$\exp'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \exp(z) \cdot \frac{\exp(h) - 1}{h} = \exp(z)$$

□